

### IMPLEMENTATION OF AGREED EXECUTIVE ACTIONS

The table below refers to the agreed Executive actions that should have been implemented by March 2008.

Report Title	Total Number of Recommendations	Executive Actions Complete	Executive Actions Partially Complete	Executive Actions not implemented	Target Date Not Yet Reached	Not Approved
Worklessness March 2006	5	4		<p>1</p> <p><b>Ref: 06/02/05 (Target Date – 31/03/2007)</b> In developing new opportunities for employment with inward investors in the town, every effort should be made to ensure that as many of the new jobs created as possible are filled by previously workless Middlesbrough residents.</p> <p><b>Response</b> - Terrace Hill have submitted planning permission for 3 developments it is likely that the site will be developed in 2 half's.</p> <p>Once planning is agreed they hope to commence with clearance of contaminated land in early May. Building by end of September construction expected to last 34 weeks tender documentation will include targeted recruitment and training clauses that will ask for contractors and subcontractors to consider the employment and training of the local labour force.</p> <p>The process used to get local labour into employment and training will involve the Neighbourhood Employment Gateways and the Network of Intermediaries.</p>		

Report Title	Total Number of Recommendations	Executive Actions Complete	Executive Actions Partially Complete	Executive Actions not implemented	Target Date Not Yet Reached	Not Approved
<p>Primary Education Reviews</p> <p>April 2006</p>	8	5	<p>2</p> <p><b>Ref: 06/04/01 (Target Date – 31/03/2007)</b> That all documentation published in relation to primary education reviews is examined. <b>Response</b> - No further review of primary schools has been undertaken to date. A comprehensive review of strategy and funding is being undertaken with a view to relaunching the review in the Autumn Term with a new project structure. Communication workstream given responsibility for reviewing documentation, engagement, and communications. Headteacher advisory group established to review communications with schools.</p> <p>Stakeholder engagement has started with the relaunched Primary Review. Headteachers and Chairs of governors briefed on two separate occasions about project timeframes and funding.</p> <p>Consultation documentation reviewed for BSF school reorganisations: aligned with corporate and departmental engagement strategies. Engagement plans produced and circulated to Heads and Chairs prior to consultation. Documentation makes explicit reference about how stakeholders can engage and contribute. School implementation group for RC amalgamation established and ongoing communication with governors.</p> <p>A section on how consultees can get involved with the consultation process and have their views heard has been included in BSF consultation documentation. The same format will be repeated in all Primary Strategy for Change consultation documentation.</p> <p><b>Ref: 06/04/03 (Target Date – 31/10/2007)</b> That timescales for reviews should be identified where possible. <b>Response</b> - Timeframes for a re-launched Primary review have been identified in-line with the DCSF's Primary Capital Programme. Approach and timeframe received Executive approval in August 2007. Primary sector Headteacher event took place on 2nd October 2007 took place to communicate timeframes, objectives, and project structure more widely. Some changes to timeframe imposed by DCSF in the last week of October 2007. Further meeting scheduled for 4th December 2007.</p> <p>Building Schools for the Future (BSF) timeframes widely publicised through project workstreams, press releases, and school and residential leafleting. Changes in legislation have made it difficult to explain the full engagement and reorganisation process for individual school reorganisations, which are now subject to the school competition rules and DCSF decisions on exemption from these rules. Recent experience has shown that DCSF involvement can protract timescales beyond those committed too in government guidance.</p>	<p>1</p> <p><b>Ref: 06/04/07 (Target Date – 31/10/2007)</b> That a procedure - such as that which has been developed to ensure a smooth transition for pupils moving between National Key Stages 2 and 3 of education - is developed by the local authority to ensure that disruption caused to pupils by reviews of education arrangements is minimised. <b>Response</b> - Building Schools for the Future Education Workstream charged with undertaking change management including managing transfer of pupils between secondary schools.</p> <p>Transition arrangements to be tackled for primary age pupils through the Primary Review Project team, including School Adviser from School Improvement service. Transition procedure will draw on the experience of the BSF Education workstream, and further development work undertaken with the Headteacher advisory group.</p> <p>Primary transition procedure has been handed to the PSC's Education Change Management workstream.</p>		

Report Title	Total Number of Recommendations	Executive Actions Complete	Executive Actions Partially Complete	Executive Actions not implemented	Target Date Not Yet Reached	Not Approved
<p><b>Primary Education Reviews</b></p> <p>April 2006</p> <p>CONT....</p>			<p>Headteacher Advisory Group consulted on timeframes at an early stage of the programme. Headteacher/governor event planned for May 2008 will also communicate timeframes and wider plans.</p> <p>BSF Catholic school reorganisation consultation documentation included clear timescales and milestones. Circulated to all stakeholders including staff, governors and parents.</p>			
<p><b>Investigation into the Development and Enhancement of Community Engagement in Middlesbrough</b></p> <p>March 2006</p>	12	4	<p><b>3</b></p> <p><b>Ref: 06/07/06 (Target Date - 30/09/2006)</b> That a generic 'job description' and 'person specification' should be developed for officers of Community Councils, to assist those who occupy such roles. <b>Response –</b> Draft role descriptions and person specification drawn up for further consultation with community representatives.</p> <p><b>Ref: 06/07/07 (Target Date – 31/12/2006)</b> That an evaluation framework for community councils is established in order to measure their development and performance. <b>Response –</b> The key measure of community councils is attendances. Whilst acknowledged as a somewhat crude indicator, attendance reflects the general robustness of community councils, and certainly declining attendance would be expected to accompany decline of the performance/effectiveness of community councils. This is now a Regeneration Service Plan Commitment 2006/7 and 2007/8 and a LAA 2007-10 Performance Indicator and Target linked to CPA. There are related LAA targets for the Cluster Groups. In addition to that, there are LAA targets and indicators in the Stronger Communities strategy that relates to empowering local people and the influence they have over decision making. The effectiveness of Community Councils will impact on these measures. It is the intention to further develop the qualitative performance management aspects in the current year as the restructuring of the Community Regeneration Section becomes established and the Cluster Review completed.</p> <p><b>Ref: 06/07/11 (Target Date – 30/04/2007)</b> A training programme should be put in place in order to assist Members in this development of their role. <b>Response -</b> The recommendations in respect of the proposed topics for inclusion in the Member Development Programme were considered by the Member Development Working Group on 30 July 2007 as part of the annual review of the Member Development Strategy and the Member Development Programme. These documents (the Member Development Strategy and Member Development Programme) went to Council on 5 September.</p>		1	4

Report Title	Total Number of Recommendations	Executive Actions Complete	Executive Actions Partially Complete	Executive Actions not implemented	Target Date Not Yet Reached	Not Approved
<p><b>Residents' Parking Scheme</b></p> <p><b>June 2006</b></p>	6	2	<p>4</p> <p><b>Ref:06/10/01 (Target Date – 31/01/2007)</b>  That the Council maintains its policy of free parking for residents. However, in order to cover the costs, a nominal charge for visitors' parking of £5 per book of 25 scratch cards should be introduced, as should the £80 charge for a business permit.  <b>Response</b> - Funding required to implement visitors scratchcard system included in recommendations for parking charges for 2007/2008 &amp; 2008/2009 approved by Executive Member 26/07/08. Due to budget pressures implementation of new system now to be programmed for 2008/2009.</p> <p><b>Ref: 06/10/02 (Target Date - 30/04/2007)</b>  In recognition of the capital costs needed in order to set up any new residents' parking scheme, provision should be made within the capital budget for such schemes and in order to plan for the cost of the possible introduction of electronic parking permits in the future.  <b>Response</b> - 2007/2008 Capital Bids submitted for new residents parking schemes in the University, Gresham and Linthorpe Village areas were unsuccessful but the Executive report on Parking Charges for 2007/2008 &amp; 2008/2009 included a recommendation that capital bids for the schemes be given priority consideration for approval as part of the next Council capital allocation process. This was approved by Executive Member on 26/07/07. Further capital bid submitted for funding for 2008/2009. Still awaiting outcome.</p> <p><b>Ref: 06/10/05 (Target Date – 31/01/2007)</b>  That further consultation is undertaken in the university area, within the next 6 months, in order to alleviate the parking problems in that area.  <b>Response</b> - Bid submitted for capital funding for the full cost of a University area residents parking scheme included the cost of detailed consultation on proposals. Executive report on Parking Charges for 2007/2008 &amp; 2008/2009 included a recommendation that capital bids for the schemes be given priority consideration for approval as part of the next Council capital allocation process. This was approved by Executive Member on 26/07/07. Further capital bid submitted for funding for 2008/2009. Still awaiting outcome.</p> <p><b>Ref: 06/10/06 (Target Date - 31/12/2007)</b>  That funding is identified in order to implement the schemes (listed as priorities for introduction within the report) during the course of the next financial year.  <b>Response</b> - 2007/2008 Capital Bids submitted for new residents parking schemes in the University, Gresham and Linthorpe Village areas were unsuccessful but the Executive Report on Parking Charges for 2007/2008 &amp; 2008/2009 included a recommendation that capital bids for the schemes be given priority consideration for approval as part of the next Council Capital allocation process. This was approved by Executive Member on 26/07/07. Further capital bid submitted for funding for 2008/2009. Still awaiting outcome.</p>			

Report Title	Total Number of Recommendations	Executive Actions Complete	Executive Actions Partially Complete	Executive Actions not implemented	Target Date Not Yet Reached	Not Approved
Investigation into Council Litterbins June 2006	6	5	1  <b>Ref: 06/11/01 (Target Date – 31/03/2008)</b> Annual budget should be increased to £60,000 to bring the service up to required standard. Once achieved, an annual budget of a minimum of £30,000 should be established. <b>Response</b> – No information provided.			
Dignity in Care for Older People February 2007	6	4	2  <b>Ref: 07/02/02 (Target Date – 30/11/2007)</b> That the Social Care Department come back to the panel with the results of the next home care survey that will be undertaken and comment on how they have engaged with more vulnerable service users and the BME community to ensure that their views are sought. Service users should also be asked to comment on whether or not they feel they are treated with dignity. <b>Response</b> - The next PSS National Elderly Home Care Survey is scheduled to take place in February 2008 and be completed by April 2008. However the DoH are reviewing this. If they decide not to ask Authorities to undertake the survey next year, the Department will still conduct its own survey.  The last annual PSS Home Care Survey took place in February 2005. The one scheduled for February 2008 did not take place as the DoH decided, instead, to ask Local Authorities to conduct an Equipment Survey. Because of that, the Department is intending to undertake a survey of elderly Home Care users later this year.  <b>Ref: 07/02/05 (Target Date – 31/12/2007)</b> That, following a year of the implementation of the grading system for residential homes, the Social Care Department attends a panel meeting to update members on a number of related issues. <b>Response</b> - The implementation of the grading system is to be conducted in parallel with a Fair Price for Care Agreement, which is anticipated to be complete by 31.3.08. The system will therefore go live on 1.4.08 and be reported on 31.3.09.			
Improving Behaviour and Supporting Pupils at Risk from Exclusion March 2007	8	6		1  <b>Ref: 07/04/04 (Target Date – 31/01/2008)</b> That the effectiveness of the new admissions protocol for hard to place pupils, which is to be introduced early in 2007, is monitored and its effect on exclusion levels is reported to the Children and Learning Scrutiny Panel, together with a general update, after 12 months of operation. <b>Response</b> – No information provided	1	

Report Title	Total Number of Recommendations	Executive Actions Complete	Executive Actions Partially Complete	Executive Actions not implemented	Target Date Not Yet Reached	Not Approved
Neighbourhood Policing March 2007	9	8			1	
Executive Scheme of Delegation December 2007	4				4	
Middlesbrough Council's Waste Services January 2008	7	1	<p>1</p> <p><b>Ref: 08/02/02 (Target Date - 31/03/2008)</b> Proposals in respect of the new refuse collection arrangements will be placed before the scrutiny for consideration prior to submission to the Executive.</p> <p><b>Response</b> - An update on the Waste Services Review was presented to the Environment Scrutiny Panel on 12 March 2008.</p> <p>Further proposals will be reported on in due course.</p>		5	